SIR Publishers
CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS
JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY
ISSN(Online): 2984-8075
Volume-9, Issue-01, Published |19-03-2026|

# TECHNOLOGY OF PRODUCING ANTICORROSIVE COATINGS BASED ON NANO-SIZED PARTICLES

**Abdulhamidova Hilola Sherzod qizi**
**Termez State University of Engineering and Agrotechnology**

## ABSTRACT

This article examines the scientific and technological basis of anticorrosive coatings formulated with nano-sized particles, the principal classes of nanofillers used in coating systems, their interaction with polymer matrices, and the key stages of coating formation. Corrosion remains one of the main causes of service-life reduction in metal structures, pipelines, transport equipment, agricultural machinery, and chemical apparatus. Conventional coatings often fail under moisture, chloride exposure, ultraviolet radiation, and mechanical damage. The incorporation of nano-sized fillers into epoxy, polyurethane, and sol-gel matrices can improve coating density, barrier behavior, adhesion, resistance to microcracking, and long-term protective performance. The paper discusses the roles of SiO2, ZnO, TiO2, Al2O3, graphene oxide, nanoclays, and related nanofillers. Rather than inventing laboratory data, the study follows an analytical IMRAD structure based on literature synthesis, comparison of composition–property relationships, and stage-by-stage modeling of the technological route. The analysis shows that when nanoparticle loading and dispersion are properly controlled, coating resistance to corrosive media, mechanical integrity, and operational durability improve substantially.

**Keywords:** nanoparticles, anticorrosive coating, epoxy composite, graphene oxide, ZnO, SiO2, sol-gel, barrier mechanism, dispersion, corrosion protection.

## АННОТАЦИЯ

В данной статье рассматриваются научные и технологические основы антикоррозионных покрытий, созданных с использованием наночастиц, основные классы нанонаполнителей, применяемых в системах покрытий, их взаимодействие с полимерными матрицами и ключевые этапы формирования покрытия. Коррозия остается одной из основных причин сокращения срока службы металлических конструкций, трубопроводов, транспортного оборудования, сельскохозяйственной техники и химического оборудования. Традиционные покрытия часто выходят из строя под воздействием влаги, хлоридов, ультрафиолетового излучения и механических повреждений. Включение наноразмерных наполнителей в эпоксидные, полиуретановые и золь-гелевые матрицы может улучшить плотность покрытия, барьерные свойства, адгезию, устойчивость к микротрещинам и долговременную защитную эффективность. В статье обсуждается роль SiO2, ZnO, TiO2, Al2O3, оксида графена, наноглины и связанных с ними нанонаполнителей. Вместо того чтобы изобретать лабораторные данные, исследование следует аналитической структуре IMRAD, основанной на синтезе литературных данных, сравнении соотношений состава и свойств и поэтапном моделировании технологического процесса. Анализ показывает, что при надлежащем контроле загрузки и дисперсии наночастиц существенно улучшаются стойкость покрытия к коррозионным средам, механическая целостность и эксплуатационная долговечность.

**Ключевые слова:** наночастицы, антикоррозионное покрытие, эпоксидный композит, оксид графена, ZnO, SiO2, золь-гель, барьерный механизм, дисперсия, защита от коррозии.

## INTRODUCTION

Corrosion is a persistent materials-engineering problem that causes economic loss, safety hazards, and reduced reliability across a wide range of industries. Once a metallic surface is exposed to oxygen, moisture, salts, acids, alkalis, or industrial atmospheres, electrochemical degradation may begin. In practical terms, a protective coating is not merely a decorative layer; it is a functional barrier that interrupts the transport of aggressive species toward the substrate.

During the last decade, nanostructured and nanoparticle-filled coatings have emerged as one of the most promising strategies in corrosion protection. Their appeal lies in the unique features of nano-sized particles: high specific surface area, strong interfacial activity, the ability to occupy microvoids in polymer matrices, and the capacity to create tortuous diffusion pathways. These features can reduce water uptake, slow oxygen transport, improve adhesion, and increase the service life of the coating system.

However, a weak assumption often appears in low-quality discussions of nanocoatings: the idea that adding nanoparticles automatically improves corrosion resistance. That assumption is wrong. Agglomeration, poor wetting, improper surface treatment, excessive filler loading, and uncontrolled curing can create defects rather than protection. The technology of producing anticorrosive nanocoatings must therefore be understood as a complete process that includes substrate preparation, nanoparticle dispersion, formulation design, application method, and curing schedule.

The objective of this paper is to present, in IMRAD form, a rigorous overview of the technology used to produce anticorrosive coatings based on nano-sized particles, to compare the main nanofiller families, to explain their protection mechanisms, and to outline a realistic technological route suitable for adaptation in research and industrial practice.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study does not fabricate experimental measurements. Instead, it uses an analytical research design based on the synthesis of journal articles, review papers, coating technology reports, and practical formulation logic reported in the field of anticorrosive nanocomposite coatings. This approach is appropriate when the goal is to build a scientifically grounded article draft without claiming laboratory results that were never obtained.

The analysis focuses on three major matrix types: epoxy, polyurethane, and sol-gel systems. The nanofillers considered include silica ($SiO2$), zinc oxide (ZnO), titanium dioxide ($TiO2$), alumina ($Al2O3$), graphene oxide, carbon-based nanostructures, and layered nanoclays. Evaluation criteria include barrier performance, adhesion, water absorption, hardness, scratch resistance, diffusion-path tortuosity, coating integrity, and long-term stability under corrosive exposure.

The technological route was decomposed into five stages. First, the metal substrate must be mechanically and chemically cleaned in order to remove rust, oxide scale, grease, and weakly bonded contaminants. Second, nanoparticles must be transformed into a stable dispersion using suitable solvents, dispersants, high-shear mixing, or ultrasonic treatment. Third, the dispersion must be incorporated gradually into the polymer matrix while controlling viscosity and avoiding re-agglomeration. Fourth, the coating is applied by spraying, dip-coating, roll-coating, or brushing depending on the substrate geometry and production constraints. Fifth, curing and post-curing conditions are selected to ensure network formation without internal stresses or microdefects.

From a formulation standpoint, nanoparticle concentration is a critical variable. Too little filler yields negligible benefit. Too much filler raises viscosity, worsens processing, promotes aggregation, and may generate internal stress concentration points. For that reason, the method section emphasizes optimal loading, surface functionalization, and dispersion quality as the decisive variables controlling the final protective effect.

JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY
ISSN(Online): 2984-8075
Volume-9, Issue-01, Published |19-03-2026|

## RESULTS

**Table 1. Selected nanoparticles used in anticorrosive coatings and their principal functions**

| Nanoparticle | Primary role | Strength | Limitation |
|---|---|---|---|
| SiO2 | Barrier improvement and hardening | Relatively easy to disperse | Can embrittle the film at high loading |
| ZnO | UV stability and corrosion resistance | Multifunctional additive | Incorrect dosage may destabilize matrix behavior |
| TiO2 | Photostability and surface functionality | High chemical stability | Photocatalytic side effects may appear |
| Graphene oxide | Labyrinth-type barrier effect | Very high protection potential | Agglomeration and galvanic risk |
| Nanoclay | Moisture diffusion retardation | Cost-effective and useful | Requires good exfoliation/intercalation |

The analytical synthesis indicates that nano-sized fillers improve anticorrosive coating performance through several distinct but interconnected mechanisms. The first is the barrier mechanism. Nanoparticles reduce free volume inside the polymer film and increase the length and complexity of diffusion pathways for water, oxygen, and ions. Platelet-like fillers such as graphene oxide and layered silicates are particularly effective in this respect because they create a labyrinth effect that delays permeation toward the metal surface.

The second mechanism is mechanical densification. Nano-SiO2 and nano-Al2O3 often enhance hardness, abrasion resistance, and scratch resistance. By reducing microcrack formation, they indirectly lower the number of defect sites through which corrosive species can penetrate. The third mechanism is associated with functional surface activity. ZnO and TiO2 can improve UV resistance and certain interfacial properties, but their benefits are conditional. If their concentration, dispersion, or surface state is poorly controlled, they may also accelerate matrix degradation or destabilize the coating architecture. More filler is not automatically better.

Graphene oxide and related carbon nanophases display some of the strongest barrier effects reported in the field, yet they also introduce one of the most serious processing risks: poor dispersion. If graphene-based fillers restack or form conductive pathways in an uncontrolled manner, the coating may lose uniformity and, under some conditions, even promote unwanted electrochemical interactions. That is why surface functionalization with silane, amino, epoxy, or similar groups is often necessary before incorporation into the matrix.

Nanoclays represent a more economical and often more process-friendly class of fillers. In well-designed systems they significantly slow moisture ingress and improve barrier performance, especially in waterborne and epoxy formulations. Their limitation is structural: if exfoliation or intercalation remains incomplete, the expected tortuous-path effect is weakened and performance gains become modest.

The process analysis also makes one issue unmistakably clear: substrate preparation is not a secondary step but a controlling factor. Even a well-designed nanocomposite coating performs poorly on inadequately degreased, oxidized, or roughness-deficient metal surfaces. Likewise, an inappropriate curing profile may lock internal stresses into the film, generate microvoids, and offset the benefits introduced by nanoparticles. In other words, the final corrosion resistance is the product of composition plus process discipline, not formulation alone.

JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY
ISSN(Online): 2984-8075
Volume-9, Issue-01, Published |19-03-2026|

## DISCUSSION

The findings suggest that nanoparticle-based anticorrosive coating technology has moved the field beyond simple paint formulation into the domain of interfacial and composite engineering. The central challenge is not only the selection of a nanofiller but the creation of chemical and structural compatibility between that nanofiller and the matrix. Silica can improve adhesion and hardness, yet excessive loading may embrittle the coating. Graphene oxide can provide outstanding barrier protection, yet uniform dispersion at low cost remains difficult. ZnO and TiO2 can add valuable multifunctionality, but only when their side effects are controlled.

From a practical standpoint, hybrid systems are often more realistic than reliance on a single nanoparticle type. Combinations such as SiO2 plus graphene oxide or nanoclay plus ZnO may deliver simultaneous barrier, mechanical, and functional benefits. The trade-off is complexity. Hybrid systems raise new questions about viscosity control, sedimentation resistance, storage stability, and reproducibility at industrial scale. A formulation that works in a laboratory beaker may fail in a real production line if those variables are ignored.

Environmental and regulatory pressures are also changing the direction of development. Waterborne systems, low-VOC formulations, inhibitor-releasing nanocontainers, and self-healing smart coatings are increasingly important. Yet these technologies should be judged with discipline rather than hype. A coating that is elegant on paper but economically impractical, raw-material dependent, or difficult to scale is not a robust solution. The smallest set of changes that makes a nanocoating truly viable is usually better dispersion, more reliable curing, and stronger substrate preparation discipline rather than an ever more complicated additive package.

Therefore, the logic of successful nanocoating design can be reduced to three requirements: the right nanophase, the right dispersion strategy, and the right curing schedule. If one of these elements fails, the whole system underperforms regardless of how advanced the materials appear in theory.

## CONCLUSION

The technology of producing anticorrosive coatings based on nano-sized particles represents one of the most active and influential directions in modern protective materials science. Nanofillers such as SiO2, ZnO, TiO2, Al2O3, graphene oxide, and nanoclays improve performance by increasing barrier efficiency, reducing defect transport, enhancing mechanical compactness, and strengthening matrix–surface interactions.

At the same time, performance depends not on the nanoparticle name alone but on particle size, morphology, surface functionalization, loading level, dispersion quality, and compatibility with the selected matrix. From a technological perspective, substrate preparation, controlled mixing, agglomeration minimization, and curing optimization remain decisive.

Future advances in the field are likely to come from hybrid fillers, smart inhibitor carriers, environmentally safer waterborne systems, and self-healing functional architectures. The real progress of nanoparticle-based anticorrosive coatings will therefore depend on the integration of sound science, disciplined processing, and industrially realistic engineering.

## REFERENCES

1. Dhoke, S. K., Khanna, A. S. Nanotechnology applications in corrosion protective coatings: a review.
2. Healy, B. et al. Review of recent developments in the formulation and corrosion protection of graphene-based coatings.
3. Zhang, M. et al. Enhancement of barrier and anti-corrosive performance of epoxy coatings using silica-coated graphene oxide nanoflakes.

JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY
ISSN(Online): 2984-8075
Volume-9, Issue-01, Published |19-03-2026|

4. Somoghi, R. et al. The impact of ZnO nanofillers on the mechanical and anti-corrosion properties of epoxy composites.
5. Yimyai, T. et al. Corrosion-responsive self-healing coatings.
6. Rajeswari, N. et al. Performance of nanofillers in epoxy resin for corrosion protection.
7. ASTM B117. Standard Practice for Operating Salt Spray Apparatus.
8. ASTM D4541. Standard Test Method for Pull-Off Strength of Coatings Using Portable Adhesion Testers.
9. Nomozov, A. K., Ch, E. S., Jumaeva, Z. E., Todjiev, J. N., Eshkoraev, S. S., & Umirqulova, F. A. (2024). Experimental and Theoretical Studies of Salsola oppositifolia Extract as a Novel Eco-Friendly Corrosion Inhibitor for Carbon Steel in 3% NaCl. International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 72(9), 312-320.
10. Munira, X., Samariddin, E., Hilola, A., Jahongir, A., Murodjon, J. R., & Shahzoda, M. (2024). THE PROCESS OF CRUSHING AND MIXING SYLVINITE ORES. Universum: технические науки, 10(12 (129)), 32-37.
11. O‘G‘Li, E. S. S., & Axmedov, O. (2024). MAHALLIY XOMASHYOLAR ASOSIDA POLIFOSFATLI FILTRLAR OLISH VA OQOVA SUVLARNI TOZALASHDA QO ‘LLASH. Строительство и образование, 3(4), 140-147.
12. Eshkoraev, S. (2024). REVOLUTIONIZING EDUCATION: HARNESSING INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY. Multidisciplinary Journal of Science and Technology, 4(3), 69-81.
13. Nomozov, A., Beknazarov, K., & Eshkoraev, S. (2024). SYNTHESIS AND INVESTIGATION OF CHARACTERISTICS OF CORROSION INHIBITOR IKMM-1 ST20 STEEL IN 1 M HCl SOLUTION. Multidisciplinary Journal of Science and Technology, 4(2), 179-192.
14. Abdulhamidova, H., Eshkoraev, S., & Javgashev, Y. (2022). TECHNOLOGY OF SILICATE BRICK PRODUCTION. Solution of social problems in management and economy, 1(4), 8-11.
15. Абдулхамидова, Х., & Эшкораев, С. (2022). НОВЫЕ ЦЕМЕНТНЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ. Theoretical aspects in the formation of pedagogical sciences, 1(4), 28-31.
16. Davronovna, K. M., Sadriddinovich, E. S., Ismatullayevich, R. Z. B., & Yigitali Jo'ra o'g, J. (2022). Dependence of Karst Processes on Physico-Chemical Properties of Salts. American Journal of Social and Humanitarian Research, 3(9), 25-28.
17. Shaymanova, R. S., & Urazov, M. K. (2022). Improvement of drilling fluid for construction of wells. Multidisciplinary J. Sci. Technol, 2(2), 12-14.
18. Choriyeva, M. S., & Eshkoraev, S. S. (2022). The interaction of energy with climate change. ISJ Theor. Appl. Sci, 4(108), 60-63.
19. Шайманова, Р., & Шайманова, Н. (2023). Виды клея, используемые при производстве ДСП. Research Focus, 2(1), 210-213.
20. Sadriddin o’g’li, E. S., Soatmurodovna, S. R. N., & Soatmurod o’g’li, A. A. IONITLAR (SORBENTLAR) YORDAMIDA ERITMADAN OLTINNI SORBSIYALASH USULIDA AJRATIB OLISH.
21. Eshqorayev, S., Abdulhamidova, H., & Abdulhamidov, J. (2022). SEMENT KLINKER TO'PLAMLARINI ISHLAB CHIQARISH: CaO-SiO2-Al2O3-SO3-CaCl2-MgO. Eurasian Journal of Academic Research, 2(12), 955-958.
22. Eshqorayev, S. S., & Ro’zimurodov, B. I. (2022). AHOLI YASHASH XONADONLARIDA IS GAZIDAN HIMOYALOVCHI FILTRLAR TAYYORLASH. Eurasian Journal of Medical and Natural Sciences, 2(6), 209-212.

JOURNAL OF CHEMISTRY
ISSN(Online): 2984-8075
Volume-9, Issue-01, Published |19-03-2026|

23. Eshqorayev, S. S., Ro'zimurodov, B. I., & Choriyeva, M. S. (2022). YOSHLARNI ILM-FAN VA INNOVATSIYALARGA QIZIQTIRISHNING NOAN'ANAVIY USULI. Eurasian Journal of Academic Research, 2(6), 308-310.
24. Eshkoraev, S., Abdulhamidova, H., & Javgashev, Y. (2022). INGREDIENT OF PORTLAND CEMENT. International Bulletin of Applied Science and Technology, 2(9), 21-23.
25. Xaydarova, M. D., Eshqorayev, S. S., & Ro'Zimurodov, B. I. (2022). Kaliy ma'danlarining dunyo bo'yicha uchrashi. Science and Education, 3(6), 149-151.
26. Uralov, N. B., Turaev, H. K., Eshkarayev, S. C., & Eshqorayev, S. S. (2021). Analysis of graphene properties, production and application. ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science, 11 (103), 726-728.
27. Akhatov, A. A., Eshkaraev, S. C., Normurodova, K. D., & Eshkoraev, S. S. (2021). Study of the influence of graphene nanofillers on the properties of composites based on polypropylene. ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science, 10 (102), 816-818.
28. Эшкараев, С. Ч., Тураев, Х. Х., & Бабамуратов, Б. Э. (2021). РАДИОЛОГИЧЕСКАЯ ОЦЕНКА РАДИОНУКЛИДОВ В ПОЧВАХ ЮЖНЫХ РЕГИОНОВ РЕСПУБЛИКИ УЗБЕКИСТАН. In ИННОВАЦИОННОЕ РАЗВИТИЕ НАУКИ И ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ (pp. 290-319).
29. Эшкараев, С. Ч., Тураев, Х. Х., & Эшкораев, С. С. (2021). ВЛИЯНИЕ ГЕКСАХЛОРЦИКЛОГЕКСАНА НА ПОВЫШЕНИЕ РАДИОАКТИВНОСТИ В ПОЧВАХ СУРХАНДАРЬИНСКОЙ ОБЛАСТИ РЕСПУБЛИКИ УЗБЕКИСТАН. In СОВРЕМЕННАЯ ХИМИЧЕСКАЯ ФИЗИКА НА СТЫКЕ ФИЗИКИ, ХИМИИ И БИОЛОГИИ (pp. 399-400).